

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 GABRIEL RUIZ-DIAZ, *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
12 *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

No. C07-1881RSL

ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

14 This matter comes before the Court on “Defendants’ Motion for Continuance of  
15 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment.” Dkt.  
16 # 106. Defendants assert that new arguments and evidence presented in support of plaintiffs’  
17 Religious Freedom Restoration Act (“RFRA”) claim requires additional discovery under Fed. R.  
18 Civ. P. 56(f). Although defendants have requested that the Court continue the cross-motions for  
19 summary judgment in their entirety, they have not offered any justification for delaying  
20 consideration of plaintiffs’ non-RFRA claims.

21 The Court will consider the pending motions for summary judgment, which were  
22 noted on the Court’s calendar for December 15, 2008, in the normal course. Defendants’ motion  
23 for a 56(f) continuance regarding the RFRA claim will be considered along with the underlying  
24 papers. Discovery in this matter is not stayed and the parties are encouraged to work together to  
25 schedule any necessary depositions during the next few weeks.

26 ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS’  
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

1 Dated this 21st day of January, 2009.

2  
3 Robert S. Lasnik

4 Robert S. Lasnik

5 United States District Judge  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26